

# State of Utah

#### **DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

September 4, 2015

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7014 0150 0000 1194 3224

Robert Steele Triumph Mining Corp. 1055 North 400 East Nephi, UT 84648

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No. MC-2015-42-01, Triumph Mining Corp.,

Gardner Canyon Mine, S/023/0015, Juab County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Steele:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector, Wayne Western, on July 29, 2015. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$4,620.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this cessation order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.



Page 2 of 5 Robert Steele S/023/0015 September 4, 2015

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by October 1, 2015). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler Assessment Officer

LK: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

 $P: GROUPS\\ MINERALS\\ WP\\ M023-Juab\\ S0230015-GardnerCanyon\\ non-compliance\\ MC-2015-42-01\\ Pass-6753-08312015. document from the complex of the complex of$ 

Page 3 of 5 Robert Steele S/023/0015 September 4, 2015

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

		12000	-2015-42-01	PERMIT: S/023				
COM	PANY	//MIN	E Triumph Mining Co	orp. / Gardner Canyon Mine	<u> </u>			
ASSI	ESSME	ENT DA	ATE Agust 31, 2015					
			FICER Lynn Kunzle					
71001		DIVI OI	TICER Lymi Kunzie					
I.	HIC	TODY	(May 25 mtg.) (D(47	7 102 2 11)				
1.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)  A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall three							
		(3) y	rears of today's date?					
	DDE	MOLIC	I HOLATIONS		DOD ITTO			
	PRE	VIOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS			
					(1pt for NOV 5pts for CO			
		N	lone		0			
				TOTAL I	HISTORY POINTS 0			
II.	SER	IOUSN	NESS (Max 45pts) (R6	47–7-103.2.12)				
		NOTE:		in Parts II and III, the following	apply:			
		1.	Based on facts supplied b	by the inspector, the Assessment				
			each category where the					
		2.	Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points					
			up or down, utilizing the	inspector=s and operator=s state	ements as guiding documents.			
	Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? Event (A)							
			(assign points according	to A or B)				
	A.	EVE	NT VIOLATIONS (Ma	x 45 pts.)				
		1.	What is the event wh	ich the violated standard w	as designed to prevent?			
				Activity outside approved permit area, Damage to property/wildlife				
				al harm, Water pollution, L				
			/revegetation potentia		oss of rectamation			
			rievegetation potentia	ii				
		2	XX714:-411-1:11	6.1	. 1:1 :1.1			
		2.		ty of the occurrence of the	event which a violated			
			standard was designe	[1] T.				
			<u>PROBABILI</u>	<u>POINT R.</u>	<u>ANGE</u>			
			None	0				
			Unlikely	1-9				
			Likely	10-19				
			Occurred	20				
			ASSIGN DD	ORABII ITV OF OCCUE	PRENCE POINTS 20			

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

The inspector indicated

Page 4 of 5 Robert Steele S/023/0015 September 4, 2015

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: \_\_\_\_

#### ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 20

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Given the magnitude of disturbances</u> and the several areas that they had occurred outside the permitted area, Damage is considered to be significant. Points are therefore assigned at 4/5 of the range vs. midpoint of the range.

#### B. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS</u> (Max 25pts)

Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? \_\_\_\_\_\_ Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS NA

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 40

## III. DEGREE OF FAULT (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)

Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)

Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater Degree of Fault

## ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 22

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: While operator had submitted a permit revision, he had been told he could not operate within the new area until the reclamation surety was provided. Since the surety was not provided, it is assumed that there was economic gain for the operator, thus the 'greater degree of fault'. Points are therefore assigned at the midpoint of the Greater Degree of Fault range.

Page 5 of 5 Robert Steele S/023/0015 September 4, 2015

#### IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? Has Violation Been Abated? No. Good faith point have not been considered at this time. However, the abatement date for this violation has not passed, so there would be opportunity to earn good faith points at a future date. If so, this proposed assessment will be re-evaluated to award good faith.

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

Rapid Compliance	-11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	
[2] P. M. H. H. H. M. H.	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS NA

## PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

# V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	40
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	22
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	62

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$4,620.00
---------------------	------------